arXiv:1408.0015v1 [astro-ph.HE] 31 Jul 2014

Quasi-periodicities of the BL Lac Object PKS 2155-304

A. Sandrinelli

Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy

INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Emilio Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy.

INFN - Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione Milano Bicocca,

Dipartimento di Fisica G. Occhialini, Piazza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy

asandrinelli@yahoo.it

S. Covino

INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Emilio Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy

A. Treves

Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Via Valleggio 11, I-22100 Como, Italy

INAF - Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera, Via Emilio Bianchi 46, I-23807 Merate, Italy

INFN - Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione Milano Bicocca,

Dipartimento di Fisica G. Occhialini, Piazza della Scienza 3, I-20126 Milano, Italy

Received _____; accepted _____

Not to appear in Nonlearned J., 45.

ABSTRACT

We have searched for periodicities in our VRIJHK photometry of PKS 2155-304, which covers the years 2005-2012. A peak of the Fourier spectrum with high significance is found at T∼315 days, confirming the recent findings by Zhang et al. (2014). The examination of the gamma-ray light curves from the *Fermi* archives yields a significant signal at $\sim$ 2T, which, while nominally significant, involves data spanning only $\sim$ 6T. Assuming a black hole mass of 10^9 $M_\odot$ the Keplerian distance corresponding to the quasi-period T is $\sim 10^{16}$ cm, about 50 Schwarzschild radii.

Subject headings: BL Lacertae objects: general − BL Lacertae objects: individual (PKS 2155-304) − galaxies: active − method: statistical

1. Introduction

Variability is one of the main tools for constraining models of BL Lac objects. The time scales can be so short (e.g. hours, minutes) that, even taking into account relativistic beaming effects, they can shed light on sizes comparable with the gravitational radius of the black hole that is supposed to lie at their center (e.g. Urry & Padovani 1991). Variability on month/year time scale is a probe of the relativistic jet structure indicating for instance a global helicoidal pattern, and a possible stratification in the direction perpendicular to the jet axis (e.g. Marscher et al. 2008). This kind of variability is essentially non periodic, describing turbulent mechanisms that may ultimately have been triggered by some instability in the accretion process (e.g. Ulrich et al. 1997).

Up to now the only strong claim of periodicity in emission of a BL Lac object was raised in the case of OJ 287 where, on the basis of optical records extending for a century, a period of 12 years was suggested (Sillanpaa et al. 1988). It may be interpreted as a Keplerian period of a system of two black holes of masses 1.7 x 10^{10} $M_{\odot}$ and 1.4 x 10^{8} $M_{\odot}$ and orbital semi-major axis of 0.056 pc (Lehto & Valtonen 1996). Note, however, that this periodicity is still widely discussed (e.g. Hudec et al. 2013).

In this letter we concentrate on the case of the BL Lac object PKS 2155-304 (z=0.116, V$\sim$ 13), which is a prototype of the class, and one of the most intensely observed objects, since its discovery in the seventies. It is a highly variable source, and numerous multifrequency observations from radio to TeV are now available (e.g. Aharonian et al. 2007; Foschini et al. 2008, and references therein). Possible quasi-periodicities on various time scales have been reported. Urry et al. (1993) found a repetition time scale of 0.7 days in ultraviolet and optical bands in a campaign lasting about one month. Lachowicz et al. (2009) using few days of data from XMM-*Newton* proposed a quasi-periodicity of 4.6 h, with a rather convincing sinusoidal folded light curve. Fan & Lin (2000) collected a

miscellaneous set of data from $\sim$ 17 years of UBVRI observations, and found evidence of quasi-periodicity at 4 and 7 years.

More recently Zhang et al. (2014) made a collection of rather inhomogeneous optical data over the last 35 years. In particular they produced an R-band light curve with $\sim$ 8000 points deriving from the photometrical data of 25 different astronomical groups. This light curve was searched for periodicity with various procedures, namely, epoch-folding (Leahy et al. 1983), the Jurkevich method (Jurkevich 1971) and the discrete correlation function (Edelson & Krolik 1988), that yielded, with high significance, a quasi-period T $\sim$ 317 days.

In Section 2 we consider for periodicities our homogeneous optical-NIR photometry of PKS 2155-304 (Sandrinelli et al. 2014), which was not included in Zhang et al. (2014) data collection. We then examine the archived *Fermi* γ-ray curves (Section 3). Conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

2. REM data

Our photometric study of PKS 2155-304 was obtained with the *Rapid Eye Mounting Telescope* (REM, 60 cm, Zerbi et al. 2004; Covino et al. 2004) in the VRIJHK bands from 2005/05/18 (MJD 53508) to 2012/05/29 (MJD 56076). Results and details about reduction and analysis procedures are thoroughly discussed in Sandrinelli et al. (2014). Instrumental magnitudes were obtained via aperture photometry. Calibration was performed by means of comparison stars in the field reported in *Two Micron All Sky Survey Catalog*[1] (2MASS, Skrutskie et al. 2006) for NIR images and in Hamuy & Maza (1989) for the optical. Check stars were used in all CCD frames. Though the photometry is fully automatized, a direct

[1]`http://www.ipac.caltech.edu/2mass/`

eye check of the data was performed. Several frames were excluded, because the target or the reference stars were at the border, or other obvious problems were apparent.

Since we are interested in a long periodicity, we considered light curves based on nightly averages. We searched for periodicity using the Date Compensated Discrete Fourier Transform (DC DFT, Ferraz-Mello 1981; Foster 1995) and the Lomb-Scargle (LS) algorithms (Scargle 1982). Results are reported in Table 1 and in Figure 1. The Fourier spectra of the photometry in the VIJK filters are very similar to those in R and H, and are not reported in the Figure.

Our most prominent peaks are in the T_1 = 306-319 days range and their significance is high. In the NIR Fourier spectra other noticeable peaks appear at $\sim$ 461 days and at $\sim$ 1270 days ($\sim 4 \cdot T_1$). Folded light curves based on DC-DFT[2] periods are shown in Figure 1, where data are divided in $T_1/6$ width bins. The folded light curves are clearly sinusoidal, with an amplitude of $\sim$ 0.6 mag. Therefore we basically confirm the results of Zhang et al. (2014) with completely independent optical and NIR data.

3. Fermi data

PKS 2155-304 is a well known γ-ray emitter (average photon flux $f_{1-100\ GeV} = 2.35 \pm 0.06 \cdot 10^{-8}$ photon cm^{-2} s^{-1} and photon index $\Gamma_{100\ MeV-100\ GeV} = 1.84 \pm 0.02$, Nolan et al. 2012). We considered the *Fermi*[3] light curves as provided by the automatic standard analysis procedure. Light curves cover the interval 2008/08/06 (54684 MJD) to 2014/06/09 (56817 MJD). We took one week integrations and selected the two energy ranges 100 MeV - 300 GeV and 300 MeV - 1 GeV. The data were searched again with LS

[2]http://www.aavso.org

[3]http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/msl_lc/

Table 1: Period analysis.

			LS			DC-DFT			
Band	N_{obs}	N_{night}	T [days]	Power	p-value	T [days]	Power	A [mag]	p-value
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
V	1938	275	306	75.42	$< 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	309	81.15	0.65	$< 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
R	1903	297	309	74.54	$< 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	314	78.14	0.60	$< 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
I	1743	281	315	77.85	$< 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	316	76.24	0.58	$< 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
J	547	274	317	62.21	$< 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	316	62.99	0.65	$< 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
H	646	258	314	62.32	$< 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	319	60.50	0.64	$< 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
K	455	243	318	61.09	$< 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	317	62.03	0.71	$< 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
100 MeV - 300 GeV	–	305	650	22.02	$8.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$	659	21.67	0.30	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$
300 MeV -1 GeV	–	295	625	12.28	$1.4 \cdot 10^{-2}$	621	12.26	0.16	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$

Notes: (a) Band or range of energy. (b) Number of observations. (c) Number of night-average photometric points, or one-week integrations for γ-rays. (d) Period corresponding to the maximum frequency in LS periodogram. (e) Power of maximum frequency in LS periodogram. (f) LS p-value. (g) Period corresponding to the maximum frequency in DC-DFT technique. (h) Power of maximum frequency in DC-DFT technique. (i) Amplitude. (j) DC-DFT p-value.



Fig. 1.— *Left panels:* Power spectra, computed with the DC DFT approach, in R and H bands and in 100 MeV - 300 GeV range. Periods in days corresponding to the prominent peaks are marked. *Right panels:* Folded light curves. Error bars are the standard errors of the average. Flux f is in photon cm^{-2} s^{-1}. The initial time for folding cycles is the same for all the curves (2009/07/28, 55040 MJD).

and DC-DFT (Table 1 and Figure 1). It is apparent that the periodicity peaks at $T_2 \sim$ 630-640 days, which is consistent with twice the optical/NIR period T_1. Note, however, that the folded light curves cover a time interval of only $\sim 3 \cdot T_2$, so this must be considered a tentative result at this time. Moreover the present data do not allow to comment about a possible phase shift of optical and γ-ray bands

4. Discussion

The simple fact that we recover the $T_1 \sim 317$ days period of Zhang et al. (2014) on independent data, though with an overlap of the observing time interval, makes their claim of this quasi-periodicity more robust. The discovery of a possible quasi-periodicity at $T_2 \sim 2\ T_1$ in γ-rays, is intriguing, and per se an indirect confirmation of T_1. At this stage, because of the limited number of monitored periods, it is impossible to discriminate between a quasi-periodicity and a real period. Note that *Fermi* satellite follows this source with no interaction with Sun constraints so that the light curve is not annually biased. For the optical-NIR data, $T_1 \sim 317$ days can be distinguished from 1 yr period, given the length of our data-train and this distinction is even stronger for the data collected by Zhang et al. (2014). In fact in our Fourier spectra (Figure 1, top and central panels on the left) the power associated to a 1 yr periodicity is significantly lower than that at T_1. In principle, it is also possible that T_1 is a beat frequency for instance with a 1 yr period. In this case the true period could be $\sim$ 168 days (0.46 yr) or $\sim$ 2246 days (6.15 yr). The former period does not appear in our power spectra, while the latter is comparable with the duration of our optical observations. Further information on the quasi-periodicity may come from unpublished archived observations, which we expect to be numerous since the source is a rather common target. Additional *Fermi* data will show whether our tentative quasi-periodicity in γ-rays holds up long enough to be fully convincing. Of interest would also be a detailed study of

the X-ray light curves beyond that performed by Lachowicz et al. (2009). This will be a delicate business. In fact because of the nature of most X-ray observations, a combination of data from numerous different instruments is required.

One can assume a mass of the black hole in PKS 2155-304 $\sim 10^9\ M_\odot$, as proposed by Falomo et al. (1991) and Kotilainen et al. (1998) on the basis of the absolute magnitude of the host galaxy. If T_1 (T_2) were a real periodicity one could consider a Keplerian frame which yields an orbital radius of $1.3 \cdot 10^{16}$ cm ($2 \cdot 10^{16}$ cm), ~ 40 (70) Schwarzschild radii. The tidal effects on a star orbiting the supermassive black hole at such radius would be very relevant, a subject which deserves further investigations.

We thank the referee for her/his prompt and competent report which enabled us to improve this letter.

We acknowledge the support of the Italian Ministry of Education (grant PRIN-MIUR 2009, 2010, 2011). This work has also been supported by ASI grant I/004/11/0.

REFERENCES

Aharonian, F., Akhperjanian, A. G., Bazer-Bachi, A. R., et al. 2007, ApJ, 664, L71

Covino, S., Stefanon, M., Sciuto, G., et al. 2004, Proc. SPIE, 5492, 1613

Edelson, R. A., & Krolik, J. H. 1988, ApJ, 333, 646

Falomo, R., Giraud, E., Melnick, J., et al. 1991, ApJ, 380, L67

Fan, J. H., & Lin, R. G. 2000, A&A, 355, 880

Ferraz-Mello, S. 1981, AJ, 86, 619

Foschini, L., Treves, A., Tavecchio, F., et al. 2008, A&A, 484, L35

Foster, G. 1995, AJ, 109, 1889

Hamuy, M., & Maza, J. 1989, AJ, 97, 720

Hudec, R., Bašta, M., Pihajoki, P., & Valtonen, M. 2013, A&A, 559, A20

Jurkevich, I. 1971, Ap&SS, 13, 154

Kotilainen, J. K., Falomo, R., & Scarpa, R. 1998, A&A, 336, 479

Lachowicz, P., Gupta, A. C., Gaur, H., & Wiita, P. J. 2009, A&A, 506, L17

Leahy, D. A., Darbro, W., Elsner, R. F., et al. 1983, ApJ, 266, 160

Lehto, H. J., & Valtonen, M. J. 1996, ApJ, 460, 207

Marscher, A. P., Jorstad, S. G., D'Arcangelo, F. D., et al. 2008, Nature, 452, 966

Nolan, P. L., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., et al. 2012, ApJS, 199, 31

Sandrinelli, A., Covino, S., & Treves, A. 2014, A&A, 562, A79

Scargle J. D., 1982, ApJ, 263, 835

Sillanpaa, A., Haarala, S., Valtonen, M. J., Sundelius, B., & Byrd, G. G. 1988, ApJ, 325, 628

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Ulrich, M.-H., Maraschi, L., & Urry, C. M. 1997, ARA&A, 35, 445

Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1991, ApJ, 371, 60

Urry, C. M., Maraschi, L., Edelson, R., et al. 1993, ApJ, 411, 614

Zhang, B.-K., Zhao, X.-Y., Wang, C.-X., & Dai, B.-Z. 2014, Res. in Astronomy and Astrophysics, in press (arXiv:1405.6858)

Zerbi, F. M., Chincarini, G., Ghisellini, G., et al. 2004, Proc. SPIE, 5492, 1590

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.